

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED ATATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/780,962	9,962 02/09/2001		Erik James Reed	85804-019800 (Y62-40406)	6926
32361	7590	01/24/2006		EXAMINER	
GREENBE	RG TRA	URIG, LLP	SIDDIQI, MOHAMMAD A		
MET LIFE I	BUILDING	G			
200 PARK A	VENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK	k, NY 10	0166	2154		
				DATE MAIL ED: 01/24/2004	ć

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Interview Summary	09/780,962	REED, ERIK JAMES						
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Mohammad A. Siddiqi	2154						
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):								
(1) Mohammad A. Siddiqi.	(3)							
(2) Carole A. Quinn.	(4)							
Date of Interview: 19 January 2006.								
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)⊡ applicant's representative	∍]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.							
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 23</u> .								
Identification of prior art discussed: 6,611,812.								
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)☐ N	N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .								
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)								
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.								

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Application No. 09/780,962

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative strongly argues what she considered novelty of the invention as each songprint identifier is derived from digitized content and it is not taught by the prior art of the record, however examiner broadly interpreted songprint identifier as a content ID (col 12, 8-41, col 31, lines 55--58, Content ID is a unique ID assigned to a content, table of content is interpreted as meta data) which implies Content ID/songprint identifier uniquely identifies content.

Regarding 112 issues, examiner still unclear about the connectivity between network server and verification database, specifically, "set of digitized content" and "each songprint identifier is derived from digitized content" are not the same, which makes claim indefinite.

At the interview no agreement was reached, but the differences between the claimed invention and the prior art of the record were discussed in detail. Examiner would like to thank Applicant's representative Carole A. Quinn for clarifying the claimed invention.